

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/657,122

Applicant(s)

CHENG ET AL.

Examiner

Ronald Baum

Art Unit

2136

## All Participants:

(1) Ronald Baum.

(2) Robert Voigt, Jr.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 14 July 2004

Time: 11:40am

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Final rejection of 4/19/2004*

Claims discussed:

*1,25-46*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

*AS*

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon examiner reconsideration of applicants prior arguments in the remarks of 2/20/2004, discussed how claim 1 elements dealing with "one copy of said tunnel definition and associated security policy...on said server node" per se in claim 1, 19, 24, 47, 65, 70, are required via examiner amendment for claims 25, 41, 46, in the form of changing the phrase "single security policy" to "single copy of a security policy". Also, claims 25-46 have a '112-2 issue in that the claim(s) preamble recites "A network system comprising:" and subsequently refers to "said virtual private network". It was agreed that the preamble should be phrased "A virtual private network system comprising:" and "The virtual private network system" (where appropriate in the dependent claims).